#### ST. LUCIE COUNTY PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

### **PART I: SCHOOL INFORMATION**

ne School Advisory Council embership is in compliance:  Yes No	Interim Superintendent: Genelle Yost Date of School Board Approval:
	10/8/2013
	SAC Chair:
	SAC Secretary Name:
ow will the SAC be involved in the shool year?	he evaluation of the SIP throughout the
	w will the SAC be involved in t

### PART II: DATA ANALYSIS: FCAT/EOC Trend Data and Increasing Student Achievement

School Grade, AMO, and FCAT trend data are included in this section, along with disaggregated data by grade level for reading, mathematics, science, writing, and social studies.

	etter Grades Data		-Trend D	<u>ata</u>	
Grade 2013	Grade 2012	Grade 2011	Grade 2010	Grade 2009	

The following links will open in a separate browser window. The data should be used to inform the problem-solving process when writing goals.

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT)/Statewide Assessment Trend Data

High School Feedback Report

K-12 Comprehensive Research Based Reading Plan

2013-2014 Reading goals as measured by FCAT	
of 2011 Itemating gould to interpret by 1 0111	2012-2013 Reading FCAT comparison
1% ( ) of the students will score a 3 or higher.	1. Level 3 or higher% ( )
2% ( ) of the students will score a 4 or 5.	2. Level 4 or 5% ( )
3% ( ) of the students will make learning gains.	3. Learning gains% ( )
4% ( ) of the students in the lowest 25% will make learning gains.	4. Lowest 25% making gains% ( )
2013-2014 Reading goals for specific subgroups	
By June 2014, each sub-group will increase the number of students who are proficient in read Objective:	ding in accordance with the Annual Measurable
American Indian ( %), Asian ( %), Black/African American ( %)	_, Hispanic ( %), White ( %),
English Language Learners ( %), Students with Disabilities ( %), Econom	nically Disadvantaged ( %)
In June 2013, the current level of performance of students who scored proficient in reading:	
American Indian ( %), Asian ( %), Black/African American ( %)	_, Hispanic ( %), White ( %),
English Language Learners ( %), Students with Disabilities ( %), Econom	nically Disadvantaged ( %)
	and the state of t
For schools with grades 6-12, describe how the school ensures every teacher contributes to the re-	eading improvement of every student.

<u>Mathematics</u>	
2013-2014 Mathematics goals as measured by FCAT	2012-2013 Mathematics FCAT comparison
1% ( ) of the students will score a 3 or higher.	1. Level 3 or higher%( )
2% ( ) of the students will score a 4 or 5.	2. Level 4 or 5%( )
3% ( ) of the students will make learning gains.	3. Learning gains% ( )
4% ( ) of the students in the lowest 25% will make learning gains.	4. Lowest 25% making gains% ( )
2013-2014 Algebra goals as measured by EOC	
1. % ( ) of the students will score a 3 or higher.	2012-2013 Algebra EOC comparison
2. % ( ) of the students will score a 4 or 5.	1. Level 3 or higher% ( )
270 ( ) of the students will score a 4 of 5.	2. Level 4 or 5% ( )
2013-2014 Geometry goals as measured by EOC	
1% ( ) of the students will score a 3 or higher.	2012-2013 Geometry EOC comparison
2% ( ) of the students will score a 4 or 5.	1. Level 3 or higher% ( )
	2. Level 4 or 5% ( )
2013-2014 Mathematics goals for specific subgroups	
By June 2014, each sub-group will increase the number of students who are proficient in mathematical entire to the students of	atics in accordance with the Annual Measurable
Objective:	
American Indian ( %), Asian ( %), Black/African American ( %), His	panic ( %), White ( %),
English Language Learners ( %), Students with Disabilities ( %), Economically	Disadvantaged ( %)
In June 2013, the current level of performance of students who scored proficient in mathematics:	
American Indian ( %), Asian ( %), Black/African American ( %), His	panic ( %), White ( %),
English Language Learners ( %), Students with Disabilities ( %), Economically	y Disadvantaged ( %)

<u>Science</u>	
2013-2014 Science Goals as measured by FCAT  1% ( ) of the students will score a 3 or higher.  2% ( ) of the students will score a level 4 or 5.	2012-2013 Science FCAT Comparison  1. Level 3 or higher%( )  2. Level 4 or.5%( )
2013-2014 Biology Goals as measured by EOC  1% ( ) of the students will score a 3 or higher. 2% ( ) of the students will score a level 4 or 5.  STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics) Goal STEM SMART Goal(s):	2012-2013 BIO EOC Comparison  1. Level 3 or higher%( ) 2. Level 4 or 5%( )
<u>Writing</u>	
2013-2014 Writing goals as measured by FCAT  1% ( ) of the students will score proficient in writing.	2012-2013 FCAT Writes Comparison  1. Scored proficient% ( )
Social Studies	
2013-2014 Civics goals as measured by EOC  1% ( ) of the students will score a 3 or higher. 2% ( ) of the students will score a level 4 or 5.	2012-2013 Civics EOC Comparison  1. Level 3 or higher% ( )  2. Level 4 or 5% ( )
2013-2014 US History goals as measured by EOC  1% ( ) of the students will score a 3 or higher. 2% ( ) of the students will score a level 4 or 5.	2012-2013 US History EOC Comparison  1. Level 3 or higher%( )  2. Level 4 or 5%( )

		rnative Assessment n this section if fewer than ten students on FAA.	
		ading goals as measured by the FAA  ) of the students will score a 4, 5 or 6 in Reading.	2012-2013 FAA Reading comparison
3	%( %( %(	<ul> <li>) of the students will score a 7 or above in Reading.</li> <li>) of the students will make Learning Gains in Reading.</li> <li>) of the Lowest 25% of the students will make learning gains.</li> </ul>	1. Level 4, 5, or 6% ( ) 2. Level 7 or higher% ( ) 3. Learning gains% ( ) 4. Lowest 25% making gains% ( )
	2014 Ma	thematics goals as measured by the FAA ) of the students will score a 4, 5 or 6 in Mathematics.	
2	`	) of the students will score a 7 or above in Mathematics.	
3	% (	) of the students will make Learning Gains in Mathematics.	
4	%(	) of the Lowest 25% of the students will make learning gains.	
		ence goals as measured by the FAA	
	`	) of the students will score a 4, 5 or 6 in Science.	
2	%(	) of the students will score a 7 or above in Science.	
Do no	t report o	sive English Language Learning Assessment Goals: In this section if fewer than ten students on CELLA. It is speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level	
1 2	%(	) Students will score proficient in Listening/Speaking as measured by CELLA ) Students will score proficient in Reading as measured by CELLA	2012-2013 CELLA Proficiency  1. Listening/Speaking% ( 2. Reading% ( 3. With a second sec

) Students will score proficient in Writing as measured by CELLA

<u></u>%(

3. Writing

<b>Dropout Prevention</b>	
Attendance	
1. The Attendance rate will increase by%.	
<ol> <li>Students with excessive absences will decrease by%.         (Defined as 10 or more absences)</li> <li>Students with excessive tardies will decrease by%.         (Defined as 10 or more tardies)</li> </ol>	2013 Attendance Rates  1. Current attendance rate%  2. Current number of students with excessive absences  3. Current number of students with excessive tardies
<ol> <li>Suspension</li> <li>The In-School Suspension rate will decrease by%.</li> <li>The total number of students suspended In-School will decrease by%.</li> <li>The Out-of-School Suspension rate will decrease by%.</li> <li>The total number of students suspended Out-of-School will decrease by%.</li> </ol>	2013 Suspension Rates  1. Total number of In-School Suspensions  2. Total number of students suspended In-School  3. Total number of Out-of-School Suspensions  4. Total number of students Suspended Out-of-School
Parent Involvement  1. Parent involvement will be increased by% (unduplicated).	2013 Parent Involvement Rates  1. Total number of parents involved in school improvement activities(unduplicated).

## **Health and Wellness Programs**

Violence Prevention and Safety Programs
Student Nutrition Programs
Physical Education Plan
Staff Wellness
Alcohol, Tobacco and other Drugs Prevention
Health Services

# **PART III: MTSS Implementation**

Describe how	the Core PST functions (e.g., meeting pr	ocesses and roles/functions). How do	es it work with other school teams to
organize/coo	rdinate MTSS efforts?		
Describe the	data resource(s) and the data managemen	at exetam(s) used to summarize data	at each tiar
Describe the	uata resource(s) and the data managemen	it system(s) used to summarize data a	at each tier.
Describe the	plan to train staff on MTSS.		
Describe the	plan to support MTSS.		
Describe the	pian to support W1155.		
	Tier I Strategies	Tier II Strategies	Tier III Strategies
Literacy			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social			
Studies			
Behavior			

## **PART IV: BUDGET**

## Professional Development (PD) aligned with strategies through Professional Learning Community (PLC) or PD Activity

Each strategy must be aligned with one or more goals listed previously.

PD Content or PLC Focus	Focused Goal(s)	Person Responsible for Monitoring	Monitoring Tool	Participants	Target Dates	Funding Source	Amount
Collaborative Planning/ Lesson Study							

# **PART V: Differentiated Accountability**

School-level Differentiated Accountability (DA) Compliance
benoof tevel Billetennated recountability (Bri) compliance
Please verify the school's DA Status. (If in DA status, school must use the state SIP form.)
Trease verify the serious about the state of
Are you a DA school? Yes No
Are you reward school? Yes No
(A reward school is any school that has improved their letter grade from the previous year or any A graded school.)
Schools that are in DA Status must complete the DA Checklist of Compliance. <a href="http://flbsi.org/DA/">http://flbsi.org/DA/</a>
PART VI: Postsecondary Transition/Readiness
*High Schools Only
How does the school incorporate applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to
their future?
How does the school incompacts students' and come and come planning as well as promote student course selections, so that students'
How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students'
How does the school incorporate students' academic and career planning, as well as promote student course selections, so that students' course of study is personally meaningful?
course of study is personally meaningful?
course of study is personally meaningful?  Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School
course of study is personally meaningful?
course of study is personally meaningful?  Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School
course of study is personally meaningful?  Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School
course of study is personally meaningful?  Describe strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level based on annual analysis of the High School