St. Lucie Public Schools

# FORT PIERCE CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL



2024-25 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

# **Table of Contents**

| SIP Authority                              | 1  |
|--|----|
| I. School Information                      | 3  |
| A. School Mission and Vision               | 3  |
| B. School Leadership Team                  | 3  |
| C. Stakeholder Involvement and Monitoring  | 9  |
| D. Demographic Data                        | 10 |
| E. Early Warning Systems                   | 11 |
| II. Needs Assessment/Data Review           | 13 |
| A. ESSA School, District, State Comparison | 14 |
| B. ESSA School-Level Data Review           | 15 |
| C. ESSA Subgroup Data Review               | 16 |
| D. Accountability Components by Subgroup   | 19 |
| E. Grade Level Data Review                 | 22 |
| III. Planning for Improvement              | 23 |
| IV. Positive Culture and Environment       | 27 |
| V. Title I Requirements (optional)         | 29 |
| VI. ATSI, TSI and CSI Resource Review      | 36 |
| VII. Budget to Support Areas of Focus      | 37 |

# **School Board Approval**

This plan has not yet been approved by the St. Lucie County School Board.

# **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes (F.S.), requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a new, amended, or continuation SIP for each school in the district which has a school grade of D or F; has a significant gap in achievement on statewide, standardized assessments administered pursuant to s. 1008.22 by one or more student subgroups, as defined in the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), 20 U.S.C. s. 6311(b)(2)(C)(v)(II); has not significantly increased the percentage of students passing statewide, standardized assessments; has not significantly increased the percentage of students demonstrating Learning Gains, as defined in s. 1008.34, and as calculated under s. 1008.34(3)(b), who passed statewide, standardized assessments; has been identified as requiring instructional supports under the Reading Achievement Initiative for Scholastic Excellence (RAISE) program established in s. 1008.365; or has significantly lower graduation rates for a subgroup when compared to the state's graduation rate. Rule 6A-1.098813, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), requires district school boards to approve a SIP for each Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) school in the district rated as Unsatisfactory.

Below are the criteria for identification of traditional public and public charter schools pursuant to the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) State plan:

### ADDITIONAL TARGET SUPPORT AND IMPROVEMENT (ATSI)

A school not identified for CSI or TSI, but has one or more subgroups with a Federal Index below 41%.

### TARGETED SUPPORT AND IMPROVEMENT (TSI)

A school not identified as CSI that has at least one consistently underperforming subgroup with a Federal Index below 32% for three consecutive years.

### **COMPREHENSIVE SUPPORT AND IMPROVEMENT (CSI)**

A school can be identified as CSI in any of the following four ways:

- 1. Have an overall Federal Index below 41%;
- 2. Have a graduation rate at or below 67%;
- 3. Have a school grade of D or F; or
- 4. Have a Federal Index below 41% in the same subgroup(s) for 6 consecutive years.

Printed: 07/31/2024 Page 1 of 38

ESEA sections 1111(d) requires that each school identified for ATSI, TSI or CSI develop a support and improvement plan created in partnership with stakeholders (including principals and other school leaders, teachers and parents), is informed by all indicators in the State's accountability system, includes evidence-based interventions, is based on a school-level needs assessment, and identifies resource inequities to be addressed through implementation of the plan. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as TSI, ATSI and non-Title I CSI must be approved and monitored by the school district. The support and improvement plans for schools identified as Title I, CSI must be approved by the school district and Department. The Department must monitor and periodically review implementation of each CSI plan after approval.

The Department's SIP template in the Florida Continuous Improvement Management System (CIMS), https://cims2.floridacims.org, meets all state and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all ESSA components for a support and improvement plan required for traditional public and public charter schools identified as CSI, TSI and ATSI, and eligible schools applying for Unified School Improvement Grant (UniSIG) funds.

Districts may allow schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions to develop a SIP using the template in CIMS.

The responses to the corresponding sections in the Department's SIP template may address the requirements for:

- 1. Title I schools operating a schoolwide program (SWD), pursuant to ESSA, as amended, Section 1114(b); and
- 2. Charter schools that receive a school grade of D or F or three consecutive grades below C, pursuant to Rule 6A-1.099827, F.A.C. The chart below lists the applicable requirements.

| SIP SECTIONS   | TITLE I SCHOOLWIDE PROGRAM                                      | CHARTER<br>SCHOOLS   |
|--|---|----------------------|
| I.A: School Mission/Vision   |   | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(1) |
| I.B-C: School Leadership, Stakeholder Involvement & SIP Monitoring | ESSA 1114(b)  |                      |
| I.E: Early Warning System  | ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(iii)(III)                                    | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2) |
| II.A-E: Data Review  |   | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(2) |
| III.A: Data Analysis/Reflection                                    | ESSA 1114(b)(6)   | 6A-1.099827(4)(a)(4) |
| III.B, IV: Area(s) of Focus  | ESSA 1114(b)(7)(A)(i-iii)                                       |                      |
| V: Title I Requirements  | ESSA 1114(b)(2, 4-5),<br>(7)(A)(iii)(I-V)-(B)<br>ESSA 1116(b-g) |                      |

Note: Charter schools that are also Title I must comply with the requirements in both columns.

# **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Department encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. The printed version in CIMS represents the SIP as of the "Printed" date listed in the footer.

Printed: 07/31/2024 Page 2 of 38

### I. School Information

### A. School Mission and Vision

### Provide the school's mission statement

The mission of Fort Pierce Central High School is to provide a safe and caring environment with challenging academic and career preparedness opportunities. Teachers engage students in a variety of instructional approaches necessary for students to become self-directed, social, lifelong learners and successful members of society.

### Provide the school's vision statement

Fort Pierce Central High School will provide all students with a challenging, equitable educational experience, empowering them to become self-sufficient contributing members of society.

# **B. School Leadership Team**

### **School Leadership Team**

For each member of the school leadership team, enter the employee name, and identify the position title and job duties/responsibilities as they relate to SIP implementation for each member of the school leadership team.

### Leadership Team Member #1

### **Employee's Name**

Gardner, Eldrique

### **Position Title**

Principal

### **Job Duties and Responsibilities**

The job duties and responsibilities are as follows: leads the administrative team within a school and is responsible for overseeing the daily operations of the institution. The principal coordinates staff schedules, oversees the development of curriculum and enforce school policies relating to discipline or safety. The principal also coordinates staff training days and works directly with students who need help meeting or setting goals. The principal also serves as the direct liaison between the school and the school board and is responsible for ensuring that the school operates according to school board protocols.

Printed: 07/31/2024 Page 3 of 38

### **Leadership Team Member #2**

### **Employee's Name**

Bailer, Kelly

### **Position Title**

**Assistant Principal** 

### Job Duties and Responsibilities

Oversees Assessment and Accountability, AICE, AP.

School Learning Results: Biology EOC, Advanced Placement (AP), Dual Enrollment, PSAT/SAT/ACT, ASVAB, State Testing Retakes, Roster Verification, Dual Enrollment, PSST Coordinator, Data Analysis, Technology Support/ Resources, WIDA

Student Learning as a Priority/ Instructional Planning: Social Studies/ (ELA Implementation), AICE General Paper, Science, ESOL, School Improvement Plan, F's (Course Failures)

Faculty Development: MTSS Coordinator, Highly Qualified/Out of Field, MTSS-Grade Levels,

Professional Development Facilitator, Standards Based Instructional Focus

Learning Environment: Recognition Programs, Awards/Assemblies, Substitute Assignments School Management: Curriculum Guide, Parent Pickup Coordinator, Frontline, Master Schedule, Textbooks, PBS/FAST, Teacher Certification, Roster Verification, Faculty Council, Report Cards Leadership Development: SAC (SIP, PBIS, Data), Faculty Council, Weekly Staff Newsletter Communication: School Counselors

# **Leadership Team Member #3**

### **Employee's Name**

Armada, Sarah

### **Position Title**

**Assistant Principal** 

### Job Duties and Responsibilities

Oversees 12th Grade/ Building 4

Student Learning Results: Graduation Rate, Acceleration, Data Analysis Presentation, 9th Grade ELA, Biology, 10th Grade ELA, Grade American History (EOC), Students' ISucceed Program Student Learning as a Priority/Instructional Planning: Communication Graduation Requirement, Credit Retrieval, F's (Course Failures), ESOL, Math Graduation Requirement

Faculty Development: Standards Based Instruction Focus, Professional Development Facilitator, SLC

Meetings Planner, MTSS- Grade Levels, Highly Qualified/Out of Field, NEST Coordinator

Learning Environment: Recognition Programs, Professional Learning Community, Awards/

Printed: 07/31/2024 Page 4 of 38

Assemblies, After-School Tutoring

School Management: Campus-Wide Activities, Master Schedule, PBIS Commitee, Roster Verification, School Improvement Plan, Student Handbook, Teacher Certification, Building Duty Assignment

### **Leadership Team Member #4**

### **Employee's Name**

Santoro, Natasha

### **Position Title**

**Assistant Principal** 

### **Job Duties and Responsibilities**

Oversees 11th Grade/Building 1 North

Student Learning Results: US History, CTE Certification, Acceleration, Roster Verification, Unit Assessments, Data Analysis, Technology Support/Resources, School-Wide Discipline Data, Students' ISucceed, PSAT/SAT/ACT

Student learning as a Priority/Instructional Plan Implementation: Visual Performing Arts, Communication Graduation Requirement, Credit Retrieval, F's (Course Failures), JROTC, School Improvement Plan Grade 11

Faculty Development: NEST Coordinator, SLC Meetings, Frontline, MTSS- Grade Levels, Professional Development Facilitator, Rtl-B, Standard Based Instruction Focus, Life Management Skills.

Learning Environment: Recognition Programs, Professional Learning Community, Award/Assemblies, After School Tutoring

School Management: Attendance Committee, PBIS Committee, Building Duty Assignment, Facilities and Maintenance, Student Government, Parent Pickup, School Calendar, Campus-Wide Activities, School Dude, PBS/FAST, Business Partner Liaison, Student Parking, Radio Leadership Development: SAC (SIP, Data, MTSS, Teacher PD ERO), Faculty Council, Weekly Staff

Newsletter, Teacher Leadership Opportunities.

Leadership Development: Grade 11, Social Studies

### **Leadership Team Member #5**

### **Employee's Name**

Torres, Christie

### **Position Title**

**Assistant Principal** 

Printed: 07/31/2024 Page 5 of 38

### **Job Duties and Responsibilities**

Oversees 10th Grade/ Building 1 South

Student Learning Results: 10th Grade ELA (Reading and Writing), Geometry EOC, Acceleration,

WIDA, Roster Verification, Unit Assessments, Data Analysis, Technology Support/Resources,

Student-Led Conferences, Students' ISucceed

Student Learning as a Priority/Instructional Planning: CTE, ESOL, Credit Retrieval, F's (Course

Failures), ESE, School Improvement Plan

Faculty Development: NEST Facilitator, SLC Meetings, MTSS- Grade Levels, Professional

Development Facilitator, Rtl-B, Standards Based Instruction Focus

Learning Environment: Recognition Programs, Professional Learning Community, Awards/

Assemblies, After School Tutoring

School Management: ESE Pickup Coordinator, Duty Assignment, Faculty Handbook, Parent Pickup

Coordinator, Safety Committee, Technology, Cafeteria, PBS/FAST, Hospital Homebound, Honor Roll,

Emergency Evacuation Plan, Title 1

Leadership Development: SAC (SIP, Parent Involvement Plan), Faculty Council, Weekly Staff

Newsletter, Teacher Leadership Opportunities

Decision Making: Biology Interventions, ELA Intervention, Discipline, PT

Conferences, Emergency Evacuation, End of year activities

### **Leadership Team Member #6**

### **Employee's Name**

Rivera, Jesus

### **Position Title**

**Assistant Principal** 

### Job Duties and Responsibilities

Oversees 9th Grade / Building 2:

Student Learning Results: Algebra EOC, 9th Grade ELA (ELA Reading and Writing), Acceleration,

Roster Verification, Achieve 3000, Unit Assessments, Data Analysis, Technology Support/Resources,

Student-Led Conferences, Athletics, Studens' ISucceed

Student Learning as a Priority/Instructional Planning: Math, F's (Course Failures), ESOL, School

Improvement Plan, Physical Education

Faculty Development: SLC Meetings, MTSS- Grade Levels, Professional Development Facilitator,

Rtl-B, Standard-Based Instruction Focus

Learning Environment: Recognition Programs, Professional Learning Community, Awards/

Assemblies

School Management: Bus Coordinator, Building Duty Assignment, BIC, School Inventory, School

Printed: 07/31/2024 Page 6 of 38

Website, Social Media Outlets, Student Handbook, Transportation, PBS/FAST, School Improvement Plan, Gatekeepers, 9th Grade Open House

Leadership Development: SAC (SIP Data, MTSS), Faculty Council, Weekly Staff Newsletter, Teacher Leadership Opportunities.

Communication: Leadership Team/Small Learning Community: 9th Grade Dean, guidance, coaches, & clerk.

Leadership: Deans and discipline

### **Leadership Team Member #7**

### **Employee's Name**

Devries, Stephanie

### **Position Title**

Instructional Coach

### **Job Duties and Responsibilities**

Lead Reading Teachers, ELA Teachers, Algebra Teachers, and Environmental Science Teachers in standards-based Collaborative

Learning and Planning Sessions, collect, analyze and interpret data from state assessments, Performance Matters, Achieve 3000, and Edgenuity and share in CLPs for Reading, ELA, Biology and United States History, provide collaborative and one-on-one support for new teachers, assist administrative team with NEST, PBIS and Credit Recovery.

### **Leadership Team Member #8**

### **Employee's Name**

Mazzo, Jaime

### **Position Title**

School Counselor-!Succeed Coordinator

### Job Duties and Responsibilities

Supports At-Risk Students.

### **Leadership Team Member #9**

### **Employee's Name**

Braddy, Marvin

### **Position Title**

!Succeed Coordinator

Printed: 07/31/2024 Page 7 of 38

### **Job Duties and Responsibilities**

Supports At-Risk Students

### **Leadership Team Member #10**

### **Employee's Name**

Robinson, Ashley

### **Position Title**

**Graduation Coach** 

### **Job Duties and Responsibilities**

Supervises and support all work (academic, attendance, behavior, etc) related to junior and senior classes. Also serves as parent and family liaison for junior and senior students.

### **Leadership Team Member #11**

### **Employee's Name**

Herbert, Bruna

### **Position Title**

School Counselor

### Job Duties and Responsibilities

Serves as Guidance Director for the department. Also an active member of the MTSS core team

Printed: 07/31/2024 Page 8 of 38

# C. Stakeholder Involvement and Monitoring

### Stakeholder Involvement and SIP Development

Describe the process for involving stakeholders [including the school leadership team, teachers and school staff, parents, students (mandatory for secondary schools) and families, and business or community leaders] and how their input was used in the SIP development process. (ESEA 1114(b)(2))

Note: If a School Advisory Council is used to fulfill these requirements, it must include all required stakeholders.

At the beginning of each calendar year, a survey is sent out to all stakeholders to complete to include our parent engagement survey. All data are analyzed, reviewed and presented at our Title IX night and our initial SAC meeting.

### **SIP Monitoring**

Describe how the SIP will be regularly monitored for effective implementation and impact on increasing the achievement of students in meeting the state academic standards, particularly for those students with the greatest achievement gap. Describe how the school will revise the plan with stakeholder feedback, as necessary, to ensure continuous improvement. (ESEA 1114(b)(3))

The SIP will be regularly monitored through classroom walkthroughs, and surveys. Also, we will utilize discipline, behavior, attendance, grades, and test data through Power BI, Performance Matters, Skyward, and other resources available.

Printed: 07/31/2024 Page 9 of 38

# D. Demographic Data

| Di Domograpino Data   |  |
|---|--|
| 2024-25 STATUS<br>(PER MSID FILE)   | ACTIVE   |
| SCHOOL TYPE AND GRADES SERVED (PER MSID FILE)   | SENIOR HIGH<br>9-12  |
| PRIMARY SERVICE TYPE (PER MSID FILE)  | K-12 GENERAL EDUCATION   |
| 2023-24 TITLE I SCHOOL STATUS   | YES  |
| 2023-24 MINORITY RATE   | 75.6%  |
| 2023-24 ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED (FRL) RATE   | 64.3%  |
| CHARTER SCHOOL  | NO   |
| RAISE SCHOOL  | NO   |
| 2023-24 ESSA IDENTIFICATION<br>*UPDATED AS OF 7/25/2024   | ATSI   |
| ELIGIBLE FOR UNIFIED SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT GRANT (UNISIG)  |  |
| 2023-24 ESSA SUBGROUPS REPRESENTED (SUBGROUPS WITH 10 OR MORE STUDENTS) (SUBGROUPS BELOW THE FEDERAL THRESHOLD ARE IDENTIFIED WITH AN ASTERISK) | STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES (SWD)*  ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNERS (ELL)  ASIAN STUDENTS (ASN)  BLACK/AFRICAN AMERICAN STUDENTS (BLK) HISPANIC STUDENTS (HSP) MULTIRACIAL STUDENTS (MUL) WHITE STUDENTS (WHT)  ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED STUDENTS (FRL) |
| SCHOOL GRADES HISTORY *2022-23 SCHOOL GRADES WILL SERVE AS AN INFORMATIONAL BASELINE.   | 2023-24: B<br>2022-23: C*<br>2021-22: B<br>2020-21:<br>2019-20: B  |

Printed: 07/31/2024 Page 10 of 38

# **E. Early Warning Systems**

### 1. Grades K-8

This section intentionally left blank because it addresses grades not taught at this school or the school opted not to include data for these grades.

Printed: 07/31/2024 Page 11 of 38

### 2. Grades 9-12 (optional)

### **Current Year (2024-25)**

Using 2023-24 data, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| INDICATOR                                     | GI | RADE | E LE\ | /EL | TOTAL |
|---|----|------|-------|-----|-------|
| INDICATOR                                     | 9  | 10   | 11    | 12  | TOTAL |
| Absent 10% or more school days                |    |      |       |     | 0     |
| One or more suspensions                       |    |      |       |     | 0     |
| Course failure in English Language Arts (ELA) |    |      |       |     | 0     |
| Course failure in Math                        |    |      |       |     | 0     |
| Level 1 on statewide ELA assessment           |    |      |       |     | 0     |
| Level 1 on statewide Algebra assessment       |    |      |       |     | 0     |

### **Current Year (2024-25)**

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students by current grade level that have two or more early warning indicators:

| INDICATOR                            | GI | RADE | E LEV | <br>TOTAL |
|--------------------------------------|----|------|-------|-----------|
| INDICATOR                            | 9  | 10   | 11    | TOTAL     |
| Students with two or more indicators |    |      |       | 0         |

### **Current Year (2024-25)**

Using the table above, complete the table below with the number of students retained:

| INDICATOR                           | GI | RADE | E LEV | /EL | TOTAL |
|-------------------------------------|----|------|-------|-----|-------|
| INDICATOR                           | 9  | 10   | 11    | 12  | IOIAL |
| Retained students: current year     |    |      |       |     | 0     |
| Students retained two or more times |    |      |       |     | 0     |

Printed: 07/31/2024 Page 12 of 38

# II. Needs Assessment/Data Review (ESEA Section 1114(b)(6))

Printed: 07/31/2024 Page 13 of 38

# A. ESSA School, District, State Comparison

school or combination schools). Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for a particular component and was not calculated for the school. Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high

Data for 2023-24 had not been fully loaded to CIMS at time of printing.

|                                |        | 2024      |       |        | 2023      |       |        | 2022**   |       |
|--------------------------------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|
| ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENT       | SCHOOL | DISTRICT† | STATE | SCHOOL | DISTRICT† | STATE | SCHOOL | DISTRICT | STATE |
| ELA Achievement *              | 52     |           |       | 47     | 43        | 50    | 50     | 46       | 51    |
| ELA Grade 3 Achievement **     |        |           |       |        |           |       |        |          |       |
| ELA Learning Gains             | 56     |           |       |        |           |       | 53     |          |       |
| ELA Learning Gains Lowest 25%  | 58     |           |       |        |           |       | 44     |          |       |
| Math Achievement *             | 20     |           |       | 20     | 22        | 38    | 25     | 37       | 38    |
| Math Learning Gains            | 34     |           |       |        |           |       | 43     |          |       |
| Math Learning Gains Lowest 25% | 57     |           |       |        |           |       | 50     |          |       |
| Science Achievement *          | 68     |           |       | 55     | 61        | 64    | 56     | 29       | 40    |
| Social Studies Achievement *   | 69     |           |       | 66     | 60        | 66    | 63     | 43       | 48    |
| Graduation Rate                | 92     |           |       | 94     | 91        | 89    | 100    | 58       | 61    |
| Middle School Acceleration     |        |           |       |        |           |       |        | 46       | 44    |
| College and Career Readiness   | 72     |           |       | 69     | 70        | 65    | 74     | 60       | 67    |
| ELP Progress                   | 42     |           |       | 34     | 40        | 45    | 27     |          |       |
|                                |        |           |       |        |           |       |        |          |       |

Index (FPPI) than in school grades calculation. \*In cases where a school does not test 95% of students in a subject, the achievement component will be different in the Federal Percent of Points

Printed: 07/31/2024 Page 14 of 38

<sup>\*\*</sup>Grade 3 ELA Achievement was added beginning with the 2023 calculation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> District and State data presented here are for schools of the same type: elementary, middle, high school, or combination.

# B. ESSA School-Level Data Review (pre-populated)

| 2023-24 ESSA FPPI                            |      |
|--|------|
| ESSA Category (CSI, TSI or ATSI)             | ATSI |
| OVERALL FPPI – All Students                  | 55%  |
| OVERALL FPPI Below 41% - All Students        | No   |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target | 1    |
| Total Points Earned for the FPPI             | 606  |
| Total Components for the FPPI                | 11   |
| Percent Tested                               | 97%  |
| Graduation Rate                              | 92%  |

|         |         | ESSA C  | VERALL FPPI I | HISTORY  |         |         |
|---------|---------|---------|---------------|----------|---------|---------|
| 2023-24 | 2022-23 | 2021-22 | 2020-21       | 2019-20* | 2018-19 | 2017-18 |
| 55%     | 55%     | 53%     | 45%           |          | 54%     | 56%     |

<sup>\*</sup> Pursuant to Florida Department of Education Emergency Order No. 2020-EO-1 (PDF), spring K-12 statewide assessment test administrations for the 2019-20 school year were canceled and accountability measures reliant on such data were not calculated for the 2019-20 school year. In April 2020, the U.S. Department of Education provided all states a waiver to keep the same school identifications for 2019-20 as determined in 2018-19 due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Printed: 07/31/2024 Page 15 of 38

# C. ESSA Subgroup Data Review (pre-populated)

|   | 2023-24 ESS                     | SA SUBGROUP DATA      | SUMMARY   |   |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|
| ESSA<br>SUBGROUP                          | FEDERAL PERCENT OF POINTS INDEX | SUBGROUP<br>BELOW 41% | NUMBER OF<br>CONSECUTIVE<br>YEARS THE<br>SUBGROUP IS<br>BELOW 41% | NUMBER OF<br>CONSECUTIVE<br>YEARS THE<br>SUBGROUP IS<br>BELOW 32% |
| Students With Disabilities                | 39%                             | Yes                   | 2   |   |
| English<br>Language<br>Learners           | 42%                             | No                    |   |   |
| Asian Students                            | 52%                             | No                    |   |   |
| Black/African<br>American<br>Students     | 54%                             | No                    |   |   |
| Hispanic<br>Students                      | 54%                             | No                    |   |   |
| Multiracial<br>Students                   | 54%                             | No                    |   |   |
| White Students                            | 61%                             | No                    |   |   |
| Economically<br>Disadvantaged<br>Students | 53%                             | No                    |   |   |

Printed: 07/31/2024 Page 16 of 38

|   | 2022-23 ESS                     | SA SUBGROUP DATA      | SUMMARY   |   |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|
| ESSA<br>SUBGROUP                          | FEDERAL PERCENT OF POINTS INDEX | SUBGROUP<br>BELOW 41% | NUMBER OF<br>CONSECUTIVE<br>YEARS THE<br>SUBGROUP IS<br>BELOW 41% | NUMBER OF<br>CONSECUTIVE<br>YEARS THE<br>SUBGROUP IS<br>BELOW 32% |
| Students With Disabilities                | 36%                             | Yes                   | 1   |   |
| English<br>Language<br>Learners           | 34%                             | Yes                   | 3   |   |
| Asian Students                            | 66%                             | No                    |   |   |
| Black/African<br>American<br>Students     | 50%                             | No                    |   |   |
| Hispanic<br>Students                      | 54%                             | No                    |   |   |
| Multiracial<br>Students                   | 65%                             | No                    |   |   |
| White Students                            | 65%                             | No                    |   |   |
| Economically<br>Disadvantaged<br>Students | 52%                             | No                    |   |   |

Printed: 07/31/2024 Page 17 of 38

|   | 2021-22 ESS                     | SA SUBGROUP DATA      | SUMMARY   |   |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|
| ESSA<br>SUBGROUP                          | FEDERAL PERCENT OF POINTS INDEX | SUBGROUP<br>BELOW 41% | NUMBER OF<br>CONSECUTIVE<br>YEARS THE<br>SUBGROUP IS<br>BELOW 41% | NUMBER OF<br>CONSECUTIVE<br>YEARS THE<br>SUBGROUP IS<br>BELOW 32% |
| Students With Disabilities                | 41%                             | No                    |   |   |
| English<br>Language<br>Learners           | 38%                             | Yes                   | 2   |   |
| Native American<br>Students               |                                 |                       |   |   |
| Asian Students                            | 64%                             | No                    |   |   |
| Black/African<br>American<br>Students     | 50%                             | No                    |   |   |
| Hispanic<br>Students                      | 52%                             | No                    |   |   |
| Multiracial<br>Students                   | 66%                             | No                    |   |   |
| Pacific Islander<br>Students              |                                 |                       |   |   |
| White Students                            | 60%                             | No                    |   |   |
| Economically<br>Disadvantaged<br>Students | 50%                             | No                    |   |   |

Printed: 07/31/2024 Page 18 of 38

# D. Accountability Components by Subgroup

|              | Economically<br>Disadvantaged<br>Students | White<br>Students | Multiracial<br>Students | Hispanic<br>Students | Black/African<br>American<br>Students | Asian<br>Students | English<br>Language<br>Learners | Students With Disabilities | All Students |                         |  | <b>D. Accountability Components by Subgroup</b> Each "blank" cell indicates the school had less than 10 eligible students with data for the school. (pre-populated) |
|--------------|---|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|--|---|
|              | lly                                       |                   |                         |                      | 'n                                    |                   |                                 | /ith                       | S            |                         |  | ount  |
|              | 47%                                       | 57%               | 49%                     | 52%                  | 47%                                   | 76%               | 24%                             | 22%                        | 52%          | ELA<br>ACH.             |  | tabilit<br>indicates<br>opulated  |
|              |   |                   |                         |                      |                                       |                   |                                 |                            |              | GRADE<br>3 ELA<br>ACH.  |  | y Com<br>the schoo  |
|              | 54%                                       | 53%               | 51%                     | 57%                  | 58%                                   | 67%               | 52%                             | 46%                        | 56%          | ELA                     |  | <b>pone</b><br>ol had les   |
|              | 57%                                       | 50%               | 43%                     | 61%                  | 61%                                   |                   | 58%                             | 46%                        | 58%          | ELA<br>LG<br>L25%       | 2023-24 /                                      | <b>nts b</b> )<br>ss than 1   |
|              | 18%                                       | 27%               | 22%                     | 16%                  | 20%                                   | 21%               | 14%                             | 10%                        | 20%          | MATH<br>ACH.            | ACCOUNTA                                       | / Sub   |
|              | 34%                                       | 35%               | 29%                     | 33%                  | 36%                                   | 23%               | 33%                             | 39%                        | 34%          | MATH<br>LG              | BILITY CO                                      | <b>group</b><br>students  |
|              | 57%                                       | 61%               |                         | 54%                  | 60%                                   |                   | 47%                             | 56%                        | 57%          | MATH<br>LG<br>L25%      | MPONENTS                                       | with data   |
|              | 64%                                       | 74%               | 62%                     | 66%                  | 65%                                   | 75%               | 39%                             | 29%                        | 68%          | SCI<br>ACH.             | 2023-24 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |   |
|              | 67%                                       | 79%               | 79%                     | 67%                  | 63%                                   |                   | 36%                             | 31%                        | 69%          | SS<br>ACH.              | ROUPS  | rticular o  |
|              |   |                   |                         |                      |                                       |                   |                                 |                            |              | MS<br>ACCEL.            |  | omponen:  |
|              | 91%                                       | 96%               | 91%                     | 88%                  | 94%                                   |                   | 75%                             | 95%                        | 92%          | GRAD<br>RATE<br>2022-23 |  | t and was   |
|              | 69%                                       | 78%               | 61%                     | 75%                  | 64%                                   |                   | 55%                             | 31%                        | 72%          | C&C<br>ACCEL<br>2022-23 |  | a particular component and was not calculated for   |
|              | 27%                                       |                   |                         | 29%                  | 21%                                   |                   | 28%                             | 29%                        | 42%          | ELP<br>PROGRE\$S        |  | ılated for  |
| Printed: 07/ |   |                   |                         | 0                    | 0                                     |                   | 0                               | 0                          | 0            | SESS                    | F  | age 19 of 38  |

| Economically<br>Disadvantaged<br>Students | White<br>Students | Multiracial<br>Students | Hispanic<br>Students | Black/African<br>American<br>Students | Asian<br>Students | English<br>Language<br>Learners | Students With Disabilities | All Students |  |
|---|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|--|
| 42%                                       | 52%               | 55%                     | 47%                  | 38%                                   | 70%               | 16%                             | 17%                        | 47%          | ELA<br>ACH.  |
|   |                   |                         |                      |                                       |                   |                                 |                            |              | GRADE<br>3 ELA<br>ACH.   |
|   |                   |                         |                      |                                       |                   |                                 |                            |              | ELA  |
|   |                   |                         |                      |                                       |                   |                                 |                            |              | 2022-23<br>ELA<br>LG<br>L25%   |
| 17%                                       | 26%               | 22%                     | 17%                  | 17%                                   | 47%               | 8%                              | 11%                        | 20%          | ACCOUNT MATH ACH.  |
|   |                   |                         |                      |                                       |                   |                                 |                            |              | ABILITY C MATH LG  |
|   |                   |                         |                      |                                       |                   |                                 |                            |              | OMPONEN<br>MATH<br>LG<br>L25%  |
| 49%                                       | 62%               | 62%                     | 55%                  | 47%                                   |                   | 21%                             | 27%                        | 55%          | 2022-23 ACCOUNTABILITY COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS  ELA MATH MATH SCI SS LG ACH. LG L25% ACH. ACH. |
| 59%                                       | 76%               | 79%                     | 63%                  | 58%                                   | 81%               | 29%                             | 43%                        | 66%          | 3GROUPS<br>SS<br>ACH.  |
|   |                   |                         |                      |                                       |                   |                                 |                            |              | MS<br>ACCEL.   |
| 93%                                       | 95%               | 100%                    | 93%                  | 93%                                   |                   | 84%                             | 92%                        | 94%          | GRAD<br>RATE<br>2021-22  |
| 64%                                       | 80%               | 74%                     | 68%                  | 55%                                   |                   | 45%                             | 36%                        | 69%          | C&C<br>ACCEL<br>2021-22  |
| 39%                                       |                   |                         | 37%                  | 40%                                   |                   | 37%                             | 28%                        | 34%          | ELP  |

Printed: 07/31/2024 Page 20 of 38

|                     | Economically<br>Disadvantaged<br>Students | White<br>Students | Pacific<br>Islander<br>Students | Multiracial<br>Students | Hispanic<br>Students | Black/African<br>American<br>Students | Asian<br>Students | Native<br>American<br>Students | English<br>Language<br>Learners | Students With Disabilities | All Students |   |   |
|---------------------|---|-------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---|---|
|                     | 44%                                       | 56%               |                                 | 67%                     | 49%                  | 42%                                   | 72%               |                                | 16%                             | 20%                        | 50%          | ELA<br>ACH.                                       |   |
|                     |   |                   |                                 |                         |                      |                                       |                   |                                |                                 |                            |              | GRADE<br>3 ELA<br>ACH.                            |   |
|                     | 50%                                       | 56%               |                                 | 56%                     | 51%                  | 51%                                   | 83%               |                                | 37%                             | 45%                        | 53%          | ELA<br>ELA  |   |
|                     | 43%                                       | 51%               |                                 |                         | 42%                  | 42%                                   |                   |                                | 36%                             | 39%                        | 44%          | ELA<br>LG<br>L25%                                 | 3                                       |
|                     | 21%                                       | 34%               |                                 | 35%                     | 22%                  | 18%                                   | 50%               |                                | 7%                              | 15%                        | 25%          | MATH<br>ACH.                                      | V FIMILO                                |
|                     | 42%                                       | 43%               |                                 | 46%                     | 38%                  | 47%                                   | 40%               |                                | 37%                             | 40%                        | 43%          | MATH<br>LG  | 7<br>7<br>7<br>7                        |
|                     | 51%                                       | 41%               |                                 |                         | 50%                  | 56%                                   |                   |                                | 47%                             | 50%                        | 50%          | MATH<br>LG<br>L25%                                | NI ONE NITO                             |
|                     | 46%                                       | 66%               |                                 | 74%                     | 54%                  | 45%                                   | 75%               |                                | 26%                             | 28%                        | 56%          | ELA MATH MATH SCI SE LG ACH. LG L25% ACH. LG L25% | 2 |
|                     | 58%                                       | 73%               |                                 | 77%                     | 63%                  | 53%                                   |                   |                                | 28%                             | 34%                        | 63%          | SS<br>ACH.  | 5                                       |
|                     |   |                   |                                 |                         |                      |                                       |                   |                                |                                 |                            |              | MS<br>ACCEL.                                      |   |
|                     | 99%                                       | 100%              |                                 | 100%                    | 100%                 | 99%                                   |                   |                                | 100%                            | 97%                        | 100%         | GRAD<br>RATE<br>2020-21                           |   |
|                     | 70%                                       | 80%               |                                 | 75%                     | 74%                  | 66%                                   |                   |                                | 52%                             | 44%                        | 74%          | C&C<br>ACCEL<br>2020-21                           |   |
|                     | 27%                                       |                   |                                 |                         | 28%                  | 27%                                   |                   |                                | 27%                             |                            | 27%          | PROGRESS<br>Page 21 of 3                          |   |
| Printed: 07/31/2024 |   |                   |                                 |                         |                      |                                       |                   |                                |                                 |                            | Page 21 of 3 | 8   |   |

# E. Grade Level Data Review – State Assessments (prepopulated)

The data are raw data and include ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data. The percentages shown here represent ALL students who received a score of 3 or higher on the statewide assessments.

An asterisk (\*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested or all tested students scoring the same.

Data for 2023-24 had not been loaded to CIMS at time of printing.

Printed: 07/31/2024 Page 22 of 38

# III. Planning for Improvement

# A. Data Analysis/Reflection (ESEA Section 1114(b)(6))

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources.

### **Most Improvement**

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

The data component that showed the lowest performance was Math proficiency. The contributing factor to this is students having greater skill deficits due to online learning.

### **Lowest Performance**

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

Math proficiency decreased to 20%. The actions that contributed to this decline were:

Transference of Collaborative Learning & Planning (CLP) to Implementation in Lesson was not consistent.

Continued Math Interventions was not implemented with fidelity.

Students' daily attendance decline.

### **Greatest Decline**

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

The data component that showed the greatest decline from the prior year is Geometry. The actions that contributed to this decline were:

Transference of Collaborative Learning & Planning (CLP) to Implementation in Lesson was not consistent.

Continued Math Interventions was not implemented with fidelity.

Students' daily attendance decline.

### **Greatest Gap**

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

The component that has the greatest gap when compared to state level is Math (Algebra and Geometry). The factors that contributed to this gap and trends are:

New Standards Implementation

Transference of Collaborative Learning & Planning (CLP) around the new standards need to be

Printed: 07/31/2024 Page 23 of 38

implemented consistently.

Continued Math Interventions was not implemented with fidelity.

Students' daily attendance decline.

### **EWS Areas of Concern**

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I, identify one or two potential areas of concern.

- Math proficiency
- Math learning gains
- · Daily Attendance

### **Highest Priorities**

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for school improvement in the upcoming school year.

- Increase Math proficiency by at least 10%.
- Increase Math learning gains by at least 20%.
- Increase daily Attendance to 92%.
- Increase Acceleration completion to 85%.

Printed: 07/31/2024 Page 24 of 38

# **B.** Area(s) of Focus (Instructional Practices)

(Identified key Area of Focus that addresses the school's highest priority based on any/all relevant data sources)

### Area of Focus #1

Address the school's highest priorities based on any/all relevant data sources.

### Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math

### **Area of Focus Description and Rationale**

Include a description of your Area of Focus, how it affects student learning, and a rationale explaining how it was identified as a crucial need from the prior year data reviewed.

Math proficiency scores are below state level. To bridge this gap, we're doubling down on evidence-based instructional practices through collaborative lesson planning (CLPs). The teams will collaborate twice per week for 90 minutes sessions. Admin will be present in the planning sessions in which we will focus on the "how" of the delivery of the content. We will be utilizing district approved materials as resources. Teams will craft rigorous lessons aligned with standards, ensuring all students experience the power of effective teaching.

### **Measurable Outcome**

Include prior year data and state the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each relevant grade level. This should be a data-based, objective outcome.

Last year, 19% of our students demonstrated proficiency in Algebra while 21% of our students' demonstrated proficiency in Geometry. Based on this data, our proficiency scores will increase by at least 10% in each domain.

### Monitoring

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will impact student achievement outcomes.

Math CLPs will receive weekly coaching from administrators to ensure a focus on high-impact instructional practices. This ongoing collaboration includes frequent classroom observations with timely, actionable feedback, fostering continuous improvement.

### Person responsible for monitoring outcome

Rivera, Jesus & Torres, Christie

### **Evidence-based Intervention:**

Describe the evidence-based intervention (practices/programs) being implemented to achieve the measurable outcomes in each relevant grade level, explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy, and describe how the identified interventions will be monitored for this Area of Focus (ESEA

Printed: 07/31/2024 Page 25 of 38

Section 8101(21)(B)).

### **Description of Intervention #1:**

Collaborative Learning and Planning framework.

### Rationale

Our new CLP framework, applicable across all subjects, offers a comprehensive approach to datadriven planning. It emphasizes the use of proven instructional strategies.

### Tier of Evidence-based Intervention:

Tier 1 – Strong Evidence

### Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?

No

### **Action Steps to Implement:**

List the action steps that will be taken to address this Area of Focus or implement this intervention. Identify 2-3 action steps and the person responsible for each step.

### **Action Step #1**

Collaborative Planning

# Person Monitoring: By When/Frequency: Rivera, Jesus Weekly During CLP

# Describe the Action to Be Taken and how the school will monitor the impact of this action step:

St. Lucie County's Framework will guide our data-driven planning. We'll employ backward design, starting with learning goals, to ensure students encounter rigorous lessons. During Collaborative Lesson Planning (CLP) meetings, the admin team will provide timely feedback, fostering the transfer of well-planned lessons to engaging classroom experiences. To ensure effective implementation, the admin team will participate in CLP meetings and conduct frequent classroom observations. This collaborative approach will provide teachers with timely feedback, fostering the translation of well-designed plans into engaging lessons.

### **Action Step #2**

Frequent Data Review

### Person Monitoring: By When/Frequency:

Rivera, Jesus Weekly

# Describe the Action to Be Taken and how the school will monitor the impact of this action step:

Teachers will engage in data review during planning once a week. By dedicating part of their weekly planning time to data review, teachers can identify student struggles on in real time. This allows for immediate reteaching opportunities, ensuring concepts are grasped before students fall behind.

### **Action Step #3**

Ongoing Coaching for Math Teachers

### Person Monitoring: By When/Frequency:

Rivera, Jesus & Torres, Christie Weekly

# Describe the Action to Be Taken and how the school will monitor the impact of this action step:

To provide support for our math teachers, we will conduct coaching cycles with them through admin

Printed: 07/31/2024 Page 26 of 38

support in which we will conduct regular assessments of teacher needs and strengths to tailor coaching support. In addition, we will align coaching goals with student achievement data. Moreover, we will demonstrate exemplary teaching practices through classroom modeling. Furthermore, we will offer constructive and actionable feedback to support teacher growth. The admin team will also provide collaborative planning time dedicated for teachers to collaboratively plan lessons and units. In addition, the admin team will develop detailed curriculum maps to ensure alignment and coherence. Moreover, through collaborative planning the math team will analyze student work to identify common misconceptions and areas for improvement. Moreover, the math teachers will plan for diverse learner needs, including students with disabilities and gifted learners. One training that the math teachers will participate during this year is the Modern Classroom, decoding word problems, and standard-based materials.

### IV. Positive Culture and Environment

### Area of Focus #1

Multiple Early Warning Signs

### Area of Focus Description and Rationale

Include a description of your Area of Focus for each relevant grade level, how it affects student learning, and a rationale explaining how it was identified as a crucial need from the prior year data reviewed.

Analysis of teacher climate survey data, student discipline data, student attendance data and School Connect curriculum to address the learning needs of the students.

### **Measurable Outcome**

Include prior year data and state the specific measurable outcome the school plans to achieve for each relevant grade level. This should be a data-based, objective outcome.

Increase students' daily attendance to 92% or higher Students' perception of belonging will increase by 20%

### Monitoring

Describe how this Area of Focus will be monitored for the desired outcome. Include a description of how ongoing monitoring will impact student achievement outcomes.

Monitoring of specific types of referrals and consequences: Gross insubordination, open defiance, etc.... We will monitor these infractions through our weekly disciplinary meeting and attendance meetings to discuss data and find behavioral trends to provide timely feedback with the goal of increasing instructional time in the classroom.

Monitor interactions between students and teachers.

A constant focus on relationship building with situational responses to discipline issues, PD, and implementation of Life Management Skills. iSUCCEED and Student Support Teams will monitor

Printed: 07/31/2024 Page 27 of 38

identified students with highest rate of discipline incidents. Through PBIS and Grade Level Teams Students' Surveys.

### Person responsible for monitoring outcome

Gardner, Eldrique

### **Evidence-based Intervention:**

Describe the evidence-based intervention (practices/programs) being implemented to achieve the measurable outcomes, explain the rationale for selecting this specific strategy, and describe how the identified interventions will be monitored for this Area of Focus (ESEA Section 8101(21)(B)).

### **Description of Intervention #1:**

Weekly Attendance Meetings Monthly Leadership Attendance Meeting PBIS Professional Development Weekly Student Support Team Meetings to monitor student data Monthly PBIS and Grade Level Meetings to monitor data and review school discipline plans PBIS - School-Wide School-Sponsored events/ programs/ clubs to boost student morale

### Rationale:

Our students have fallen behind the state proficiency scores, as well lacking with life skills. Success in school and at home will benefit for teaching students coping strategies in conjunction with their academic skills. This is a reason that our students' daily attendance has fallen below 90%.

### **Tier of Evidence-based Intervention:**

Tier 1 – Strong Evidence

Will this evidence-based intervention be funded with UniSIG?

No

### **Action Steps to Implement:**

Action Step #1

Weekly Attendance Meeting

Person Monitoring: By When/Frequency:

Santoro, Natasha weekly

# Describe the Action to Be Taken and how the school will monitor the impact of this action step:

Student Support Teams (administrator, dean, graduation coach, student support teacher, ESE) will meet weekly with identified students by grade level, and then meet weekly with the ISuccess team to share data and determine next steps. Grade Level Teams will meet monthly to review grade level academic and behavioral data, as well as review the identified students for ISucceed. Fidelity walks will be conducted monthly by the administrative team to determine success or areas for improvement in terms of CHAMPS expectations.

Printed: 07/31/2024 Page 28 of 38

# V. Title I Requirements (optional)

# A. Schoolwide Program Plan (SWP)

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A SWP and opts to use the SIP to satisfy the requirements of the SWP plan, as outlined in ESEA Section 1114(b). This section of the SIP is not required for non-Title I schools.

### **Dissemination Methods**

Provide the methods for dissemination of this SIP, UniSIG budget and SWP to stakeholders (e.g., students, families, school staff and leadership, and local businesses and organizations). Please articulate a plan or protocol for how this SIP and progress will be shared and disseminated and to the extent practicable, provided in a language a parent can understand. (ESEA 1114(b)(4))

List the school's webpage where the SIP is made publicly available.

Our primary goal is to ensure that all stakeholders understand the contents of these documents and how they impact the school community. This will foster transparency, trust, and collaboration. Dissemination Strategies

### 1. Website:

Through our school website: Fort Pierce Central (stlucie.k12.fl.us) we will create a clear and easily accessible tab for each document. These tabs will include user-friendly summaries of the key points for each document. Each tab will also offer translations of the summaries into commonly spoken languages (Spanish & Haitian-Creole) in the community.

### 2. Parent and Community Engagement:

Through SAC, we will discuss the SIP, budget, and SWP. We will invite families to our parent involvement nights explain the documents in detail and answer questions. Also, during our open house we will share QR codes for stakeholders to have access to these documents through our website. In addition, we will use email and social media to share information and updates.

### 3. Staff and Leadership:

We will Incorporate the SIP, budget, and SWP into staff development session. Also, the leadership team will regularly review and discuss the documents with school leadership.

### 4. Students:

We will Involve student government in the dissemination process.

### 5. Local Businesses and Organizations:

We will collaborate with local businesses and organizations to share information about the school's goals.

Measurement and Evaluation

Printed: 07/31/2024 Page 29 of 38

To assess the effectiveness of the dissemination plan, we will:

- Track website traffic to the dedicated pages.
- Collect feedback from parents, staff, and community members through surveys and focus groups.
- Monitor attendance at workshops and meetings.
- Evaluate student understanding of the documents through assessments or discussions.

### Ongoing Communication

By following this plan, we can ensure that our school community is well-informed about our goals and how we plan to achieve them.

### Positive Relationships With Parents, Families and other Community Stakeholders

Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission, support the needs of students and keep parents informed of their child's progress.

List the school's webpage where the school's Parental and Family Engagement Plan (PFEP) is made publicly available. (ESEA 1116(b-g))

Fort Pierce Central (stlucie.k12.fl.us)

A strong partnership between our school, parents, and the community is essential for student success. To foster these relationships, the school will implement the following strategies:

Open and Consistent Communication

- Regular communication channels: Utilize various methods to reach parents, including email, phone calls, and digital platforms.
- **SAC:** Schedule monthly SAC meetings to discuss budget, student progress, goals, and concerns.
- **Progress reports:** Provide timely and informative progress reports to keep parents updated on their child's academic performance.
- **Family-friendly events:** Host events such as open houses, parent engagement nights, and cultural celebrations to create opportunities for interaction.

We will monitor our relationship Success through:

- Parent surveys: Regularly gather feedback from parents to assess satisfaction and identify areas for improvement.
- Attendance rates: Monitor attendance rates as an indicator of parent engagement.
- **Student achievement:** Track student academic performance to measure the impact of parent involvement.

By implementing these strategies, Fort Pierce Central High School aims to create a welcoming and

Printed: 07/31/2024 Page 30 of 38

supportive environment where parents, families, and the community feel valued and empowered to contribute to student success.

### Plans to Strengthen the Academic Program

Describe how the school plans to strengthen the academic program in the school, increase the amount and quality of learning time and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum. Include the Area of Focus if addressed in Part II of the SIP. (ESEA Section 1114(b)(7)ii))

To enhance student achievement, Fort Pierce Central High School will focus on implementing evidence-based strategies to strengthen the academic program, optimize learning time, and provide enriched and accelerated curriculum opportunities.

### Enhancing the Academic Program

- Curriculum Alignment: Ensure curriculum is aligned to state standards, emphasizing critical thinking, problem-solving, and creativity.
- **Differentiated Instruction:** Implement differentiated instruction to address diverse student needs and learning styles.
- Professional Learning: Provide ongoing professional learning for teachers to enhance instructional practices and content knowledge.
- Data-Driven Instruction: Utilize data to inform instructional decisions and monitor student progress.
- **Positive Learning Environment:** Create a supportive and engaging classroom climate that fosters student motivation and achievement.

### Increasing the Amount and Quality of Learning Time

- Extended Learning Opportunities: Offer before- and after-school programs, including tutoring for tested subjects.
- Effective Use of Instructional Time: Minimize interruptions and maximize instructional time through efficient classroom management.
- Flexible Scheduling: Explore flexible scheduling options to accommodate student needs and provide additional instructional time.

### Enriched and Accelerated Curriculum

- AICE: Expand AICE course offerings for high-achieving students.
- **Dual Enrollment:** Allow eligible students to earn college credits while in high school.
- **Elective Courses:** Offer a variety of elective courses to broaden student interests and talents.
- CTE: Offer multiple career technical certifications for our students to become career ready.

### Focus on Mathematics

Math Intervention: Provide targeted math intervention for struggling students.

Printed: 07/31/2024 Page 31 of 38

- Math Enrichment: Offer advanced math courses (AICE) and competitions for high-achieving students.
- Math Technology: Integrate technology tools (IXL, FEV) to enhance math instruction and problem-solving skills.
- **Math Teacher Collaboration:** Foster collaboration among math teachers to share best practices and develop common assessments.

### Evaluation and Adjustment

The leadership team will regularly assess the effectiveness of these initiatives through data analysis and stakeholder feedback. We will make necessary adjustments to improve student outcomes. By implementing these strategies, the Fort Pierce Central High School aims to create a robust academic environment that challenges and supports all students, leading to increased student achievement and college and career readiness.

### How Plan is Developed

If appropriate and applicable, describe how this plan is developed in coordination and integration with other Federal, State and local services, resources and programs, such as programs supported under ESSA, violence prevention programs, nutrition programs, housing programs, Head Start programs, adult education programs, career and technical education programs, and schools implementing CSI or TSI activities under section 1111(d). (ESEA Sections 1114(b)(5) and 1116(e)(4))

No Answer Entered

Printed: 07/31/2024 Page 32 of 38

# B. Component(s) of the Schoolwide Program Plan

### Components of the Schoolwide Program Plan, as applicable

Include descriptions for any additional, applicable strategies that address the needs of all children in the school, but particularly the needs of those at risk of not meeting the challenging state academic standards which may include the following:

### Improving Student's Skills Outside the Academic Subject Areas

Describe how the school ensures counseling, school-based mental health services, specialized support services, mentoring services, and other strategies to improve students' skills outside the academic subject areas. (ESEA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(I))

School counselors are available throughout the day to students. School-based mental health services are available through the school counseling office. School counselors meet with students on their caseload several times a year to establish a relationship. Teachers and staff also refer students to school counselors who need assistance. There is a dedicated school counselor for at-risk students. Our counselors also partner with IRSC for vocational trade programs.

### Preparing for Postsecondary Opportunities and the Workforce

Describe the preparation for and awareness of postsecondary opportunities and the workforce, which may include career and technical education programs and broadening secondary school students' access to coursework to earn postsecondary credit while still in high school. (ESEA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(II))

Fort Pierce Central High School Career and Technical Education (CTE) opportunities provide students with a school-to-career connection. Fort Pierce Central High School offers a variety of CTE courses that lead to industry certification, enabling students with the training and certification needed to attain employment right out of high

school. All Industry certifications are real-world certifications that are designed, administered, and recognized by industry. Students who earn Industry Certifications may have an advantage gaining employment or be eligible for post-secondary scholarships. The programs that we offer are the following:

- Aerospace Technologies Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University
- Allied Health
- Culinary Arts
- Hospitality and Touring Management
- Web Development
- Criminal Justice
- Marketing, Management, and Entrepreneurial Principles
- Automotive Maintenance, and Light Repair

### Addressing Problem Behavior and Early Intervening Services

Printed: 07/31/2024 Page 33 of 38

Describe the implementation of a schoolwide tiered model to prevent and address problem behavior, and early intervening services coordinated with similar activities and services carried out under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. (20 U.S.C. 1400 et seq. and ESEA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(III)).

At Fort Pierce Central High School we implement Single School Culture. With this approach, we ensure that all students have the same expectations from all teachers. We also provide ISucceed as early intervening service in which the ISucceed team meet, counsels, and serve as mentors for targetted students.

To ensure safe and caring schools, FPCHS embraces the principles of Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS) and Resiliency. PBIS is an evidence-based three-tiered framework for:

- improving and integrating all data, systems, and practices affecting student outcomes;
- a proactive approach to promote positive behavior resulting in improved academic outcomes, school safety and overall satisfaction of the school experience for students, families and staff;
- and the focus of PBIS is prevention and intervention. PBIS is a process of teaching, reinforcing and recognizing the behaviors that lead to student success instead of simply punishing misbehavior. In support of this effort, the School District promotes the following Universal Guidelines and Behavioral Expectations:

Resiliency is an integral part of education and human development.

Resiliency is the process through which all young people and adults:

- acquire and apply the knowledge, skills, and attitudes to develop healthy identities;
- manage emotions and achieve personal and collective goals;
- feel and show empathy for others; and
- establish and maintain supportive relationships and make responsible and caring decisions.

Resiliency advances educational equity and excellence through authentic school-family-community partnerships to establish learning environments and experiences that feature trusting and collaborative relationships, rigorous and meaningful curriculum and instruction, and ongoing evaluation. Resiliency can help address various forms of inequity and empower young people and adults to co-create thriving schools and contribute to safe, healthy, and just communities.

### **Professional Learning and Other Activities**

Describe the professional learning and other activities for teachers, paraprofessionals and other school personnel to improve instruction and use of data from academic assessments, and to recruit and retain effective teachers, particularly in high need subjects. (ESEA section 11149b)(7)(iii(V)).

Fort Pierce Central provides numerous professional learning and activities opportunities for all school personnel to improve instruction. Some of the professional learning opportunities including Single School Culture, ELL Strategies, Engagement Strategies, Student Conferencing, PBIS, IXL, and other

Printed: 07/31/2024 Page 34 of 38

professional learning opportunities that will be provided through the district office. When it comes to activities, we provide our personnel breakfast and luncheons on certain days, frequent recognition, and get together during our football games.

### **Strategies to Assist Preschool Children**

Describe the strategies the school employs to assist preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs. (ESEA 1114(b)(7)(iii)(V))

N/A

Printed: 07/31/2024 Page 35 of 38

### VI. ATSI, TSI and CSI Resource Review

This section must be completed if the school is identified as ATSI, TSI or CSI (ESEA Sections 1111(d)(1)(B)(4) and (d)(2)(C) and 1114(b)(6)).

### **Process to Review the Use of Resources**

Describe the process to review the use of resources to meet the identified needs of students.

Fort Pierce Central is run by a six-member administrative team who work in concert with department heads and team leaders in the collaborative process of decision making. This representative approach allows all instructional and non-instructional employees to be a dynamic part of a school wide learning organization. The administrative team meets on a weekly basis. The leadership team meets bi-weekly, and the entire faculty also meets monthly. School resources to support programs and supplemental initiatives are presented to all stakeholders who are also part of the monitoring process.

Funding is obtained through the school district and expenditures are allocated based upon state and district requirements, the learning goals of the school, and the safety, health, and security of the students. If there are funds allocated to our SAC, the SAC determines how these funds will be spent. Fort Pierce Central High School has no additional source of funding. All expenditures, in the end, are designed to support the academic success of our students.

### **Specifics to Address the Need**

Identify the specific resource(s), rationale (i.e., data) and plan to address the need(s) (i.e., timeline).

No Answer Entered

Printed: 07/31/2024 Page 36 of 38

# **VII. Budget to Support Areas of Focus**

Check if this school is eligible for 2024-25 UniSIG funds but has chosen not to apply.

No

Printed: 07/31/2024 Page 37 of 38

BUDGET

0.00

Printed: 07/31/2024 Page 38 of 38